REVOCATION And RECISION OF SIGNATURE

Recision of my Signature, and further hereby and herewith execute and affirm said Recision and Revocation of my signature which appears on any governmental or non-governmental or private form which does or may appear to create a JURISDICTION over my PERSON, and thereto related SUBJECT MATTER (eg. my civil and political rights, not limited to Life, Existence, Liberty, Immunity, Property, Enjoyment thereof and resultant Happiness etc.)—which signature may appear on, but not limited to, an Application for or contract expressed or implied regarding a SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER and account, and all related administrative process and procedure and forms, and any and all IRS or other such W-4 Forms, 1040 Forms, and state and local counterparts and analogs, and all other Instruments which may actually or appear to create a Revenue, Eminent Domain, Police or other governmental or private JURISDICTION over my PERSON and thereto related SUBJECT MATTER? (supra); and further state that said signatures were obtained of me by causes which invalidate the said signature and signatures in any combination, and validate my Recision and Revocation Denunciation and Nullification of my said signature or signatures, including but not limited to FRAUD? DURESS, UNDUE INFLUENCE, MISREPRESENTATION, INCAPACITY (a child not of age of majority), and otherwise, including but not limited to MISTAKE of Jurisdictional fact, MISTAKE of law within the exceptions to the general rule; and otherwise; and where this claim is denied, I demand a "prior" FORMAL hearing as required in the material NOW before the Secretary of the Treasury, USA; et al., in the form of Administrative Law Demands (see Certified Mail No. P363-448-033 and others)!0

1 especially including, but not limited to:

2 including all licenses etc. (see privileges, etc., <u>Burn. v. Wells</u>, 289 US 670, 679).

IRS Inc. in combination with corporate media and other governmental agencies and corporations have FRAUDULENTLY and to my detriment and demise, misrepresented that the USA et al. (Sec. Treas., IRS Inc., etc.) have JURISDICTION to tax and regulate me and my political and civil rights, where NO such jurisdiction, power, or authority exists (eg. see Crandall v. Nev., 73 US 35, 46, see "record" supra).

46, see "record", supra).
4 Parents and other guardians talked me into affixing signatures and submitting

to such non-existant jurisdictions.

see n. 3, above, and any supposed contract arising therefrom constituted and remains an "adhesion" contract, which in this contest is UNENFORCEABLE in its entirety (eg. see Meyer, CONTRACTS OF ADHESION AND THE DOCTRINE OF FUNDAMENTAL BREACH, 50 Va. LR 1178 (1964); Bolgar, THE CONTRACT OF ADHESION, 20 Amer. Journ. Comp. Law 53 (1972); cases in).

I was a child, under the age of majority, and only recently found out about the WANT of jurisdiction, the jurisdictional and other facts being carefully concealed by the USA et al., eg. IRS Inc., its agencies and agents, with culpable cooperation of the media and corporations with which I may have had

associations.

I mistook the total of the content of the applications and contracts resultant, as a result of the affixation and execution of my signatures thereto, as well

108588 BOOK1084 PAGE1529

AFFIDAVIT REVOCATION And RECISION OF SIGNATURE

Continued

as any JURISDICTIONAL Facts and other facts which may have been or be now involved.

- 8 see n. 7 above, etc.
- 9 see n. 8 & 7 above, etc.
- I did NOT execute my signature or otherwise become involved in any such jurisdiction contract or other agreement expressed and implied in the full knowing, voluntary or intentional sense, due to said FRAUD, MISREPRESENTATION, MISTAKE and other validating and invalidating causes (supra)—for WANT and Concealment of the fundamental information (eg. Swanson v. Fuline, 248 F Supp. 463; NJ v. Joh., 68 NJ , 354, etc.).

VERIFICATION

I, the undersigned aggrieved individual suffering or about to suffer a legal wrong 11 under a relevant statute as applied to me or mine, for which there is NO clear, speedy certain, complete nor otherwise adequate remedy at law, even though I have EXHAUSTED ALL administrative remedies as may be required, and otherwise as Affiant in this matter--under pains and penalties of perjury--affirm that all statements herein in entirety are true and correct upon my personal knowledge, belief and information, and are NOT made for purposes of delay nor evasion, nor other bad purpose, but are made only to assert, protect, and vindicate my SUBSTAN-TIVE, Political, and Civil Rights, Liberties, Immunities, my Person, Family, Property, Interests and Endeavors-from, inter alia, alien and foreign jurisdictions and the effects thereof-to which I and Mine are INMUNE, and otherwise have a right to be free from; and where anything herein appears to be a "conclusion", the same is NOT a mere conclusion, but represents <u>JURISDICTIONAL</u> and <u>Constitutional</u> <u>FACTS</u>—which are "hard facts"—upon which I have and do and shall rely as foundation and justification for my acts and omissions (<u>Lemon v. Kurtzman</u>, 411 US 192; US v. Mason, 412 US 391)—for which dependence and reliance I can NOT be penalized (US v. Mason, supra 12)—all such "hard facts" having "retro active effect" (Becker v. Nebr., 310 F. Supp. 1275, affd. 435 F2d 157, cert. den. 402 US 981; NY & Van Burkett v. Montanye, 335 NYS2 196, 70 Misc. 2d 907)—upon which AT LAW facts and authority I have based all my acts and want of action (supra)—in substance, mere form NOTWITHSTANDING--as relates to any supposed private or public or other claim or defense which government et al., may try to depend upon--or which is in process or which may arise in the future, and of course as otherwise may relate to this action, contest or case. This, in any event, is a or in the nature of, a "special appearance" to challenge Jurisdiction of (a) any involved "agency" or agent thereof, and (b) supposed "court" acting on related enforcement of that agency's supposed jurisdiction and subject matter or claim, which is thus NOT acting "judicially" (Thompson v. Smith, 154 SE 579, 583), but as a mere extention of that agency for superior reviewing purposes (eg. see K.C. Davis, ADNIN. LAW, p. 95, 6 Ed. West's 1977, id., Ch. 1 1965 Ed.); FRC v. GE, 281 US 464, Keller v. PE, 261 US 428, etc.), allowing no one, supposed grand jury, prosecutor, "judge" (sic), "jurors" (sic), "court" (sic) any form of "judicial immunity" therefore and otherwise.

"This is, or is supplementary to, and only under and subsequent to a Special Appearance to deny and challenge jurisdiction of a governmental, quasi-governmental or private de facto or dejure agency--over my Person and thereto

108588

2 of 3 Pages

BOOK 1084 PAGE 1530

AFFIDAVIT

REVOCATION And RECISION OF SIGNTURE

Continued

related Subject Matter-and if any court is supposing or otherwise claiming right to enforce any statute 13 against me, my property, Family, Life, Liberty, Immunity or other civil and political rights.

11 5 USC 702 (eg., 5 USC 101-559, 701-706; Stark v. Wickard, 321 US 288 (1944) 12 Sherar v. Cullen, 481 F2d. 946 (1973); Simmons v. US, 390 US 389 (1968); Miller v. US, 230 F2d. 486, 489; Malloy v. Hogan, 378 US 1, 8; US v. Bishop,
412 US 346 (72)
courts in administering or "enforcing" statutes do NOT act "judicially",
but merely ministerially (<u>Thompson v. Smith</u> , 145 SE 579, 583, and do so at their personal risk and peril (<u>Middleton v. Low</u> , 30 C 596,
P; see effect of <u>San Christina v. SF</u> , 167 C 762, 142 P 384).
Bir Waganh,
William E. Wagonich 10/11/84
WILLIAM E. WAGONER JR. DATE
Aggrieved Individual Suffering A Legal Wrong
NOTARY PUBLIC NOTARY PUBLIC DATE RITA BIENZ NOTARY PUBLIC - IIEV DCUGLISHED TO THE PUBLIC - IIEV NOTARY PUBLIC - IIEV NOTARY PUBLIC - IIEV NOTARY PUBLIC - IIEV DCUGLISHED TO THE PUBLIC - IIEV NOTARY PUBLIC - II
DATE My Appointment Expires June
JURAT: I, Notary Public in and for the State of Nevada, and for the County of
Douglas, residing at ZENHYN COUE, NEVIHOUS
witness that on this day, one known to me to be the above signator, did personally appear before me and upon the above expressed and implied oath or affirmation and
verification, affixed the above executed signature hereto.
Nu Commission audinos (2) 1 1985
My Commission expires on Acception 1905
1216 / Scens 10-11-84 SEAL
NOTARY PUBLIC DATE
\\\
RITA BIENZ NOTARY PUBLIC - MEVADA
DCUGLAS COUNTY My Appointment Expires June 11, 1985
REQUESTED BY
IN OFFICIAL PECOSOS OF
"hotistias country and
'84 OCT 11 All :36
SUZAMILL AUDTEAU 108588
\$ 700 PA D. BR DEPUTY BOOK 1084 PAGE 1531
SPAU_DCC_UEPUTT BUONES SE

3 of 3 Pages