

RECISION OF CONTRACT & REVOCATION OF POWER

ASSEVERATION

I, Steve Zinn an American by birth, born in the State of Nevada, County of Washoe subject to God's Law of Nature, do hereby state the following to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief:

1. That this document has been prepared, witnessed, and filed because the State of Nevada holds the position that there are no statutory provisions to rescind a birth certificate, nor any trust or contractual obligations derived therefrom, and because there is no other remedy available to me at law by which I can declare and enforce my right to be free from state enfranchisement benefits therefrom.

2. That on 5-11-57, I was born to my parents, who were under the misconception that they had to secure a certificate of birth in my behalf, and obtained the same.

3. That my parents were not aware that at the common law, births were to be recorded in the family Bible, and that only deaths were made a matter of public record.

4. That my parents were not aware that any certificates required by statute to be made by officers may, as a rule, be introduced into evidence (Marlow v. School Dist., 116 Pac 797) and therefore, they were acquiescing to state requirements which violate my right to privacy and 4th Amendment protections under the U.S. Constitution, as the birth certificate is the record of the state, not the individual, and the state may be compelled to produce said record without my permission.

5. That such statutory practices by the state are deceitful misrepresentations by the state and society on the recording of births, and my parents were unaware that a birth certificate was not necessary, nor were they aware that they were possibly waiving some of my inalienable and constitutional rights.

6. That the doctor who delivered me acted as a licensed agent of the state without the consent of either my parents or myself, and offered me into a state trust to be regulated as other state and corporate interests and property as a result of that offer and acceptance, which comprises a fiction of law under statutory law (called contracts of adhesion/contracts implied by law/constructive contracts/quasi-contracts, also referred to as implied consent legislation).

198198

BOOK 389 PAGE 1959

7. That from my religious training and beliefs I have determined that the right to be born comes from God --- not the state --- and therefore original jurisdiction upon my behavior requiring a specific performance comes from my personal relationship with my God, unless said performance causes a damage or injury to another natural individual.


8. That after studying the birth certificate issue, I have come to the conclusion that the birth certificate creates a legal estate in myself, and acts as the nexus to bring actions against this individual as if he were a corporate entity. The state is using the birth certificate to assume jurisdiction over many aspects of my life that are in direct contravention with my inalienable and constitutional right to be a freeman and operate at the common law.

9. That such statutory provisions also cause a loss or diminution (depending upon other statutory provisions) of 1st, 2nd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, and 9th Amendment rights.

10. That as a result of my studies, my ignorance has come to an end, and I have regained my capacity to be an American Freeman. Therefore, it is now necessary that I declare any nexus assumed by the state as a result of the birth certificate, and any jurisdictional or other rights that may be waived as a result of said trust/contract with government, to be null and void from its inception due to the deceit, duress, fraud, injury, and incapacity perpetuated upon my parents and myself by the state---the third party to the contract.


11. That with this rescission of contract and revocation of power, I do hereby claim all of my inalienable and constitutional rights at law, and do hereby declare to one and all that I am a natural person who is not a creation of, nor subject to the state's civil law of admiralty, maritime, or equity jurisdictions.


Dated this 1st day of January, 1989.




Steve Zinn

Witnessed by:

1. 

2. 

3. 

"...at the mouth of two witnesses, or at the mouth of three witnesses, shall the matter be established." Deut. 19"4:13

198198

BOOK **389**PAGE**1960**

COPY

REQUESTED BY
Sherry Wheat
IN OFFICIAL RECORDS OF
DOUGLAS CO., NEVADA

'89 MAR 15 A10:56

SUZANNE BEAUDREAU
RECORDER

198198

\$ 7⁰⁰ PAID *Bh* DEPUTY

BOOK 389 PAGE 1961