HEATON AND DOESCHER, LTD.

Case No. 91-02140P
Dept. No. 2

REC'D & FILED

'98 FEB -4 P4:53

ALAN ELOVER CLERK

IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR CARSON CITY

of

MAURICE M. WEIKEL, also known as MAURICE MARCEL WEIKEL,

In the Matter of the Estate

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND JUDGMENT

FINDINGS OF FACT

Deceased.

Estate and for an Accounting of the Probate Estate having come on regularly for hearing before the Court sitting without a jury, Petitioner, LORRAINE H. WEIKEL, as Executrix of the Estate of MAURICE M. WEIKEL, also known as MAURICE MARCEL WEIKEL, Deceased, present and appearing by and through her attorney, SCOTT J. HEATON, ESQ., of HEATON & DOESCHER, LTD., and Respondent, PAUL R. DEMPSEY, appearing by and through his attorney, JAMES R. CAVILIA, ESQ. of ALLISON, MACKENZIE, HARTMAN, SOUMBENIOTIS & RUSSELL, LTD., the Court, having heard and considered the witnesses and evidence presented and having considered the pleadings and papers on file and the argument of counsel, now therefore makes its Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment on the issues presented as follows:

BK0501PG9052

.

HEATON AND DOESCHER, LTD. P.O. BOX 605

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On September 5, 1995, Petitioner filed a Petition to Determine Ownership of Assets subject to probate administration (the "Leo's California assets") and to set aside sale of stock.
- 2. A hearing was held on said Petition before this Court on November 27, 1995, said hearing being attended by Petitioner and PAUL R. DEMPSEY.
- 3. The Court issued a ruling from the bench on November 27, 1995 and its written Order on December 8, 1995.
- 4. In its written Order of December 8, 1995, the Court specifically found:
- (a) Each and every one of Leo's California assets, subject to community property claims of Mrs. Weikel therein, was the property of Dr. Weikel as an individual at the time of death, is the property of the Estate of Dr. Weikel, and is subject to administration by this Court;
- (b) The lease and the option agreement and the rights thereunder, subject to the community property claims of Mrs. Weikel therein, were the property of Dr. Weikel as an individual at the time of his death, are property of the estate of Dr. Weikel and are subject to administration by this Court;
- (c) All the beneficial interest in the authorized capital stock in Leo's Nevada, subject to the community property claims of Mrs. Weikel therein, were owned by Dr. Weikel as an individual at the time of death, are

BK0501PG9053

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

property of the Estate of Dr. Weikel, and are subject to administration by this Court; and

- Any and all assets of Leo's Nevada, (d) whether owned of record or beneficially by Leo's Nevada, subject to the community property claims of Mrs. Weikel, were the property of Dr. Weikel as an individual at the date of his death, are the property of the estate of Dr. Weikel, and are subject to administration by this Court.
- The December 8, 1995 Order of this Court, as aforesaid, was not vague and is clear as to the ownership of the Leo's California assets and the stock in Leo's Nevada.
- 6. In the instant proceeding, PAUL R. continued to testify that he had a fifty-one percent (51%) ownership interest in the Leo's Nevada stock despite the specific Court ruling that he had no such ownership interest, said testimony being in absolute opposition to what was very clearly and specifically set forth as an adjudicated fact by this Court on November 27, 1995.
- PAUL R. DEMPSEY created lease documents and promissory note obligations shortly before the hearing wherein he signed as an officer of Leo's Nevada, again said actions being done despite the Court's clear and specific adjudication that PAUL R. DEMPSEY has no interest in Leo's Nevada.
- PAUL R. DEMPSEY mismanaged Leo's Nevada and utilized the assets and revenues generated by Leo's Nevada for his own personal benefit at the expense of the Estate of MAURICE M. WEIKEL and LORRAINE WEIKEL.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Subsequent to the Court's ruling on November 27, 1995 and written Order on December 8, 1995, PAUL R. DEMPSEY did remove certain of the Leo's California assets and utilized said assets for his own personal use and benefit in violation of the Court's November 27, 1995 ruling and December 8, 1995 Order.
- The assets misappropriated by PAUL R. DEMPSEY do not appear to be available to be returned by PAUL R. DEMPSEY to the Estate of MAURICE M. WEIKEL, PAUL R. DEMPSEY having disposed of or dispensed with said assets over the course of the last several years.
- The evidence supports that the assets removed 11. have a value in the total amount of THREE HUNDRED THIRTY SIX THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED FIFTY FOUR DOLLARS (\$336,954.00).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- PAUL R. DEMPSEY is in contempt of this Court's 27, 1995 oral ruling and its December 8, 1995 written Order based on his own testimony wherein he states said Court Order allowed him to keep the that Leo's California assets and offset the value of said assets against amounts claimed by him to be owed by Leo's, said testimony not supported by the clear language of the Court's prior ruling.
- The Court has the jurisdiction and power under the provisions of NRS 143.110 to enter a money judgment against PAUL R. DEMPSEY and in favor of the Estate in lieu of the production of assets, the Court concluding that if any of /////

0515395 BK0501PG9055

the assets were now produced, they would be of recent origin and of questionable validity.

- 3. The contempt powers of this Court are limited to twenty-five (25) days in jail and/or a fine of up to FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$500.00).
- 4. That the money judgment being entered as part of the claim of the Petitioner is minimus under the circumstances, the Court specifically concluding that money and assets improperly taken by PAUL R. DEMPSEY exceed the amount of the money judgment rendered by this Court.
- 5. That Petitioners are entitled to attorney's fees and costs.
- 6. That PAUL R. DEMPSEY'S pleadings and Opposition to Petitioner's Petition For Delivery of Assets have no merit.

JUDGMENT

NOW, THEREFORE, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows:

- 1. That PAUL R. DEMPSEY is hereby held in contempt of this Court's Order of December 8, 1995.
- 2. That this Court hereby fines PAUL R. DEMPSEY for his contempt of the Court's December 8, 1995 Order and suspends said fine until some future date when the Court is satisfied that PAUL R. DEMPSEY has acted in good faith with respect to any of the proceedings that remain pending before this Court and complies with this Court's Judgment.
- 3. Judgment is entered in favor of the Estate of MAURICE M. WEIKEL and against PAUL R. DEMPSEY in the amount

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of THREE HUNDRED THIRTY SIX THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED FIFTY FOUR **DOLLARS** (\$336,954.00) together with attorney's fees and costs.

Petitioner is hereby awarded attorney's fees in \$ 24,367,50 of and costs in the the amount amount of \$ 3,893,76

DATED this 4th day of

1998.

DISTR CT**JUDGE**

IN OFFICIAL RECORDS OF DOUGLAS CO. MOVADA

2001 MAY 30 PM 2: 37

0515395

LINDA SLATER RECORDER

\$ 12 PAID KO DEPUTY

BK0501PG9057

CERTIFIED COPY

The document to which this certificate is attached is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file and of record in my office.

Date: ALAN GLOVER, (U) Clerk and Clerk of the First Judicial District Court and the Sate of Nevada, in

and for Carson City