1	Case No. OFURE0024						
2	Dept. II SEP 0 5 2001						
3	DOUGLAS COUNTY DISTRICT COURT CLERK 701 SEP -5 A11:32						
4	BARBARA REED CLERK						
5	BY WE LAND PUTY						
6	IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA						
7	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS						
8	Nicole Garside,						
9.	Plaintiff,						
10	vs. AFFIDAVIT OF RECORDATION						
11	Russell Garside,						
12	Defendant.						
13							
14	STATE OF NEVADA)						
15	COUNTY OF DOUGLAS) ss.						
16	I, Connie Wenner, hereby swear and affirm under penalty of perjury that the						
17	following assertions are true:						
18	1. That affiant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a citizen of the State of						
19	Nevada, over the age of twenty-one years, and an employee of the Douglas County						
20	District Attorney's Office managing Case #568413650B.						
21							

That this affidavit and judgment is being filed pursuant to NRS 125B.142 and NRS 2. 17.150, and when so recorded shall become a lien upon all the real property of the

responsible parent.

That the responsible parent's name is Russell Garside, whose address is 1382 3. Patricia Drive / P.O. Box 2005, Gardnerville, NV 89410.

28

27

22

23

24

25

26

0522219 BK0901PG0920

1	4. That affiant cannot provide the responsible parent's driver's license number.
2	
3	5. That the responsible parent's social security number is 3650.
4	
5	6. That the responsible parent's date of birth is 01/23/1970.
6	
7	7. That attached hereto is a certified copy of the Order and Judgment filed September
8	4, 2001.
9	
10	
11	Connie Wenner
12	Connie Wenner
13	
14	SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this 5 th day of September, 2001.
15	
16	Marilyn Mariole NOTARY DUBLIC
17	
18	MARILYN MARIOLO
19	Notary Public - Nevada Dougles County
20	94-4889-6 My Appointment Expires August 12, 2002
21	
22	
23	

1	Case No. 01-UR-0024						
2	Dept. No. II RECEIVED						
3	AUG 3 1 2001 01 SEP -4 P4:38						
4	DOUGLAS COUNTY BARBARA REED BARBARA REED						
5	IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA						
6	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS						
7							
8	PETITIONER OBLIGEE,						
9	Nicole Garside,						
10	vs.						
11	RESPONDENT OBLIGOR,						
12	Russell Garside,						
13							
14	ORDER AND JUDGMENT CONFIRMING MASTER'S FINDINGS AND						
15	RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING WAGE WITHHOLDING						
16	THIS MATTER having regularly come for hearing before the Master on the 10 th						
17	day of August, 2001; the Petitioner/Obligee being () present () not present; and						
18	the Respondent/ Obligor being duly served and (present () not present, and						
19	represented by Drose; and Evan Bower Eg; of the Douglas County						
20	District Attorney's Office appearing and representing the State of Nevada's interest in						
21	the support and welfare of the child(ren) pursuant to law. After hearing all of the						
22	evidence and being fully advised in the premises, the Master makes the following						
23	findings and recommendations:						
24	FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW						
25	1. () The Court has jurisdiction of the parties and of the subject matter of						
26	this case.						
27	2. () The Respondent/Obligor is the parent of:						
28	Morgan Ann Garside; born: 04/25/1996. Haleigh Lynn Garside; born: 01/10/1998. Ryan Edward Garside; born: 08/03/2000 0522219						
	BK0901PG0922						

1	3. That in an order entered 01/23/2001, in the State of Nevada, County of					
2	Douglas, Case Number 01-DI-0027, Respondent/Obligor was ordered to pay \$900.00					
3	per month as ongoing child support. There were no child support arrearages;					
4	4. (That the order of support sough to be enforced is valid and there is					
5.	no fraud or mistake of fact;					
6	5. () That the order of support sought to be enforced is not valid and/or					
7	there is fraud or mistake of fact as follows:					
8	() The Court which issued the order for support lacked personal					
9	jurisdiction over the Respondent/Obligor;					
10	() That there was a mistake of fact as to whether the responsible parent					
11	has been delinquent in the payment of support;					
12	() That there was a mistake of fact as to the amount of arrearages or					
13	support;					
14.	() That there was a mistake of fact as to the custody of the child/ren.					
15	() That the order of support was obtained by fraud.					
16	IT IS FURTHER FOUND THAT: OBCIEGGE VOLUNTARILY SIEWER A					
17	JOINT PETITION FOR DIVORCE AGREEING TO PAY \$3000 PER MONTH PER					
18	CHILD PLUS \$6250 AS AND FOR 12 COST OF MEDICAL INSURPNCE OBTRINED BY OBLIGGE DECREE OF DIVORCE FOUND AND DECREED THAT					
19	OBLIFOR SHALL PAY THAT AMOUNT THERE ARE NO SIGNIFICANT CHANGE IN CIRCUMSTANCE TO WARRANT MODIFICATION OF THAT DECREE WHICH U					
20	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED THAT:					
21	1. (Respondent/Obligor shall pay chid support and arrearages by					
22	immediate wage withholding. THIS IS AN INCOME WITHHOLDING ORDER. A					
23	mandatory wage withholding shall be initiated against the Respondent/Obligor's wages					
24	or commissions, in the amount of $\$ 90^{-3}$ per month.					
25	2. That the Respondent/Obligor is not obligated to pay child support and/or					
26	arrearages by immediate wage withholding for the following reasons:					
27	() The Court which issued the order for support lacked personal					
28	jurisdiction over the Respondent/Obligor; RENTERED ON JANVARY 23, 2001. OBCIGOR QUES \$4,650 IN ARREAD					
	-2- 0522219					
	BK 0 9 0 1 PG 0 9 2 3					

1	() There was a mistake of fact as to whether the responsible parent ha						
2							
3	() There was a mistake of fact as to the amount of the arrearages or						
4	support;						
5	() There was a mistake of fact as to the custody of the child/ren.						
6							
7	\\\						
8	IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED THAT:						
9							
10							
11							
12	IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.						
13							
14	Dated this 10th Cougust, 2001. Sinde White						
15	MASTER						
16							
17	NOTICE						
18	Objections/appeals to this recommendation are governed in part by NRS						
19	425.3844. You have ten (10) days from receipt of this recommendation to file an						
20	appeal.						
21	If this recommendation is governed by the "Review and Adjustment" guidelines						
22	of Federal Regulations. You have thirty (30) days from receipt of this recommendation						
23	to file an appeal.						
24	FAILURE TO FILE AN APPEAL AND SERVE WRITTEN OBJECTIONS TO						
25	THIS RECOMMENDATION WITHIN THE APPROPRIATE TIME LIMITS WILL						
26	RESULT IN A FINAL JUDGMENT ORDERED BY THE DISTRICT COURT AGAINST						
27	YOU.						
28							
	-3- 0522219						
- 11							

BK0901PG0924

3	I acknowledge that I have received a copy of the Master's Recommendations.						
	Dated this	, 2001.					
		<u>OF</u>	RDER				
	THE COURT HAV	THE COURT HAVING REVIEWED THE PLEADINGS AND PAPERS ON FILE					
	AND THE MASTER'S RECOMMENDATIONS, AND NO TIMELY OBJECTHAVING BEEN FILED,						
	IT IS HEREBY OR	DERED: That the	e Master's Rec	ommendations be and			
	hereby are affirmed and adopted by the Court and Judgment is entered						
9	accordingly.						
	3 ,						
	Dated this 5-yt. 4	, 2001.	1/ Ulwhen	1/21c			
			DISTRICT	COURT JUDGE			
			\checkmark /				
		/ /	\ \ \				
	\sim \\						
	CERTIFIED COPY			TALEST DV			
The document to	which this certificate is atta	ched is a		DOUGLAS COUNTY			
record in my office	rect copy of the original on fi	ile and of	: 11.	IN OFFICIAL RECORDS OF DOUGLAS CO. MEYADA			
DATE: Lep:	tember 5,2001			2001 SEP -6 AM 8: 59			
of the State of Ne	Clark of the 9th Judicial Dist wada, in and for the County of	rict Court Douglas,		LINDA SLATER RECORDER			
Ву	B		22219	RECORDER S PAID 5 DEPUTY			
7,5			01PG0925	\$ PAINCY DEPOT			