Rowe & Hales Attorneys At Law

Physical Address 1638 Esmeralda Avenue Minden, NV 89423 (775) 782-8141

CASE NO. 02-PB-0096 NO.

DEPT. NO. I

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2002 OCT 29 PM 2: 10

RECEIVED

OCT 2 9 2002

BARBARA REED CLERK

RYGREGORY DEPUTY

IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS

In Re:

HENRY VON BERG and LOREITA VON BERG INTERVIVOS TRUST, u.t.d. 2/18/77

ORDER FOR TRANSFER AND DELIVERY
OF PROPERTY TO TRUST

THIS MATTER was brought on before the Court on the Verified Petition Re: Internal Affairs of Trust; For Transfer or Delivery of Property of Trust; and For Construction of Trust Instruments filed on September 20, 2002. The Petition is brought on behalf of JOAN WAUGH, beneficiary of the HENRY VON BERG AND LOREITA VON BERG INTERVIVOS TRUST, u.t.d. 2/18/77 ("Trust"). Pursuant to Notice of Hearing, this Court conducted a hearing on the 29th day of October, 2002, regarding the Petition. Present in Court was the Petitioner, JOAN WAUGH, together with her counsel, MICHAEL SMILEY ROWE, ESQ. of ROWE & HALES, LLP.

Good cause appearing, the Court hereby finds as a matter of fact, concludes as a matter of law and orders as follows:

1. The Court finds as fact that the Petitioner, JOAN WAUGH ("Petitioner") is the daughter and sole child of HENRY VON BERG and LOREITA VON BERG ("Trustors"), and is the beneficiary of the HENRY VON BERG and LOREITA VON BERG INTERVIVOS TRUST, u.t.d. 2/18/77.

0556262

BK 1002 PG 13674

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2. The Court finds that proper notice of the Petition Re: Internal Affairs of Trust; For Transfer or Delivery of Property of Trust; and For Construction of Trust Instruments was duly and properly served on all those interested in the Petition. Filed on September 20, 2002, was a Certificate of Certified Mailing of the Petition and Notice of Hearing establishing October 28, 2002, at 1:30 p.m. as the date and time this Court would consider the Petition. Filed on October 8, 2002, were Return Receipts of Certified Mailing of a copy of the Petition and a copy of Notice of Hearing to all those interested in the Petition. It appears to the satisfaction of the Court that no one interested in the Petition, including the Trustee of the Trust, has objected to the requests contained in the Petition.

- 3. The Court finds as a matter of fact that the Trustors had declared a prior trust and entered into a Declaration of Trust dated October 26, 1965 ("1965 Trust"). On the same date, Trustors conveyed certain property to the 1965 Trust including the three lots which are the subject of the Petition; specifically lots 39, 40 and 41 as set forth on the Trustee's Map of the Town of Genoa made in September, 1874.
- 4. On February 18, 1977, the Trustors revoked the 1965 Trust, and on the same date declared the Trust now before the Court. Subsequent to the execution of the Trust, Trustors, while both were living, entered into four amendments of the Trust in 1977, 1979, March 10, 1982 and November 10, 1982. The Trustors had reserved the power of amendment and revocation of the Trust while each was alive. The Trust appointed the Bank of Stockton as the Trustee.

On the death of Henry Von Berg, the Trustee divided the Trust estate into two separate Trusts for the benefit of the

Decedent's estate and the survivor. Loreita Von Berg survived Henry Von Berg and amended the Survivor's Trust twice.

- 5. The Court finds that in both the Trusts, and in the Survivor's Trust, it is provided that Petitioner would be distributed any real property owned by the Trustors. The Court has found that the Petitioner is the sole beneficiary of the Trust.
- 6. It appears to the satisfaction of the Court, and the Court so finds, that when the Trustors revoked the 1965 Trust, and created the 1977 Trust a clerical error was made in the transcription of the legal description for certain real property located in the Town of Genoa. In the 1965 Trust, the Trustors conveyed to the 1965 Trust lots 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 38, 39, 40, 41 and 42 as shown on the Trustees' Map of the Town of Genoa made in 1874.

In the preparation of the 1977 Trust, and the legal description of the property conveyed to the Trust, the Court is satisfied that by clerical error and inadvertence lots 39, 40 and 41 were omitted from the legal description contained in the grant deed. The grant deed is inaccurate and in error.

This error has been perpetuated to the current date. The Court accepts as a matter of fact the allegations of the Petition that no person has claimed an interest adverse to the Trust's and to the Petitioner's in the three lots inadvertently omitted from the conveyance to the Trust in 1977.

7. The Court finds as a matter of fact that the Trust has exercised dominion and control of all of the lots, including lots 39, 40 and 41, since the Declaration of Trust in 1977. The Court finds as a matter of fact that Trustors constructed improvements on the lots omitted from the legal description, and after completion of the

improvements, Trustors occupied the home built on this property.

After the death of the Trustors, Petitioner has occupied the improvements located on this property.

- 8. The Court finds as a matter of fact that the Trustee is knowledgeable of the Petition, has consented to the Petition, and has not appeared to protest the requests contained in the Petition after proper notice to the Trustee was provided by the Petitioner. It appears to the satisfaction of the Court that, in fact, the Trustee has proposed to deed to the Petitioner the three omitted lots, but cannot do so until such time as the legal description of the Trust property is corrected.
- 9. The Court finds as a matter of fact that there are no creditors who claim against the Trust who would be affected by the Court's entry of an order clarifying the legal description of the Trust property to include lots 39, 40 and 41.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Court concludes as a matter of law that due and proper notice of the Petition and the Notice of Hearing has been provided by the Petitioner. The Court has been provided return receipts from the certified mailing of a copy of the Petition and the Notice of Hearing to all those interested in the Petition, including the Trustee. No one has appeared to protest the requests of the Petitioner.
- 2. The Court concludes as a matter of law that it has exclusive jurisdiction of a proceeding initiated by the Petition filed by the Petitioner. The Court may, pursuant to NRS 165.075, issue its order concerning the administration and distribution of the Trust, the declaration of rights and determination of other matters involving Trustees and beneficiaries of the Trust, and provide any appropriate \$1556262\$

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

relief as in its sound discretion the Court deems proper.

- 3. The Court concludes that the beneficiary and Petitioner has properly brought the Petition pursuant to NRS 153.031, and pursuant to such statute has properly requested this Court's advice and instructions by way of this Order relating to the internal affairs of the Trust and the Trust property.
- 4. The Court concludes that it may, pursuant to NRS 164.033 order that the omitted lots, lots 39, 40 and 41, be added to the legal description of the real property owned by the Trust and further order that the Trustee hereinafter may have possession of and title to lots 39, 40 and 41.
- 5. The Court concludes as a matter of law that, pursuant to NRCP 70, the Court can direct the Trustee, or alternatively the Court Clerk, to execute a corrected deed clarifying the omission of lots 39, 40 and 41.
- The Court concludes as a matter of law that the Petition, and proceedings brought pursuant to the Petition, do not result in supervisory Court's continuing jurisdiction orcontinued proceedings; excepting supervisory only unless the Court's jurisdiction is invoked by an interested party proceeding at a later time, by later petition, or otherwise as provided by law.
- 7. The Court concludes as a matter of law that should any of the findings of fact set forth hereinabove be construed as a conclusion of law, the Court so intends; conversely, should any conclusions of law be construed as a findings of fact, the Court intends such result.

27 | / / /

28 | / / /

3

4 5

6 7

9

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

Rowe & Hales

Attorneys At Law

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

The Court orders that the intentions of HENRY VON BERG and 1. LOREITA VON BERG, as Trustors of the HENRY VON BERG and LOREITA VON BERG INTERVIVOS TRUST, u.t.d. 2/18/77, were that lots 39, 40 and 41 as depicted in Block 1 according to the Trustees' Map of the Town of Genoa made in September, 1874, and were to be conveyed to the Trust along with lots 17, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 38.

The Court orders that lots 39, 40 and 41 as described shall 2. and are, owned by the HENRY VON BERG and LOREITA VON BERG INTERVIVOS TRUST, u.t.d. 2/18/77.

The Court orders that the Petitioner is to be delivered and distributed all of the aforesaid described lots outright by the Trustee.

It is the Order of the Court that the construction of the Trust, and the amendments to the Trust identified in the Petition, are clear as to the Trustors' intent. Accordingly, the Court orders that a certified copy of this Order be recorded with the Office of the Douglas County, Nevada Recorder to clarify and correct the earlier deed by Trustors to the Trust to specifically include the omitted lots 39, 40 and 41.

Hereinafter, it is the Order of the Court that the Trust 5. until such time as it conveys to JOAN shall own, WAUGH, Petitioner, the real property of the Trust located in the Town of Genoa, specifically described as:

> "Lots Seventeen (17), Eighteen (18), Nineteen Twenty (20), Twenty-one (21), Thirty-nine (39), (38), Forty Forty-one (41) and Forty-two (42)

> > 0556262

1638 Esmeralda Avenue Minden, NV 89423 (775) 782-8141

1 according to the Trustees' Map of said Town of Genoa made September A.D. 1874. 2 The property may also be commonly described as 3 2336 Genoa Street, Genoa, Nevada 89411; Douglas County, Nevada APN 1319-09-602-006". 4 5 DATED this 29th day of October, 2002. Minden, NV 89423 Facsimile (775)782-368. 6 7 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 8 Submitted by: ROWE & HALES, LLP 9 10 Nevada Bar Number 1374 11 P.O. Box 2080 Minden, Nevada 89423 12 (775) 782-8141 Attorneys for Petitioner 13 Rowe & Hales Attorneys At Law 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 775) 782-8141 23 CERTIFIED COPY ne document to which this certificate is attached is a ill, true and correct copy of the original on file and of record in my office. IN OFFICIAL RECORDS OF PATE: DOUGLAS CO. NEVADA Clerk of the W.h Judicial District Court 27 In and for the County of Douglas, 2002 OCT 30 AM 9: 32 28 Deputy LINDA SLATER RECORDER **40556262** \$20 PAID DEPUTY

BK 1002 PG 13680