Rowe & Hales Attorneys At Law

Physical Address 1638 Esmeralda Street Minden, NV 89423 (775) 782-8141

CASE NO. 01-DI-0269

DEPT. NO. I

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2002 OCT 21 PM 4: 31

BARBARA REED OCT 2 1 LOUIS CONTROL OF THE POUR TO STRICT COURT OF THE PUTY

IN THE NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF DOUGLAS

NO.

MICHAEL PECK,

Petitioner,

vs.

ELIZABETH SHERYL PECORARO,

Respondent

ORDER GRANTING ADVICE AND INSTRUCTIONS, APPROVING PAYMENT OF EXPENSES AND ORDER OF PARTITION

THIS MATTER came on before the Court on October 15, 2002, on the Petitioner's Revised Request for Entry of Order of Partition, Payment of Expenses and Request for Advice and Instructions filed on September 19, 2002. Petitioner was present in Court, together with his counsel, MICHAEL SMILEY ROWE, ESQ. of ROWE & HALES, LLP. The Defendant, although properly served with a copy of the Request and Notice of Hearing, failed to respond to the Request, and did not appear in Court.

Accordingly, and based upon all pleadings on file herein, and good cause appearing, the Court finds as a matter of fact, concludes as a matter of law and orders as follows:

1. A review of this matter indicates that a default judgment was entered on August 16, 2001, divorcing the Petitioner MICHAEL PECK ("MICHAEL") and the Respondent ELIZABETH SHERYL PECORARO

0556272 BK 1002 PG 13704 | ("]

("ELIZABETH").

In an unfortunate choice of words, MICHAEL's previous counsel provided in the Decree that the former community property, and domicile of the parties, located at 1518 Sanchez Road, Gardnerville, Nevada ("the property") would be set aside to MICHAEL as his sole and separate property, but also provided that MICHAEL would pay to ELIZABETH the "total sum of the net received from the sale of the five acre parcel adjacent to the Sanchez Road property". The Court finds that there is no separate five acre parcel as may be inferred from the wording of the Decree.

- 2. The former community property, and domicile of the parties, is one ten acre parcel. It is an undivided ten acre parcel. The deed to the property now recorded indicates that the title to the property is held by MICHAEL and ELIZABETH as husband and wife as joint tenants.
- 3. In June, 2002, MICHAEL filed a verified request for order of partition, payment of expenses and request for this Court's advice and instructions. A supplement to this request was filed with the Court on July 8, 2002. On July 9, 2002, MICHAEL, together with his counsel, appeared in Court and a hearing was conducted on the original request. After the hearing, and because of questions and concerns of the Court, the Court did not grant the request, but required that MICHAEL take certain actions prior to the Court's consideration of the request. It appears to the satisfaction of the Court that MICHAEL has followed the directions of the Court, and that the Court's questions or concerns are satisfied.
- 4. The Court finds that an Order of Partition according to the Turner and Associates survey previously supplied to the Court is appropriate in these circumstances. The Court finds as a matter of

BK 1002 PG 13705

& Hales ys At Law

Physical Address 38 Esmeralda Street Ainden, NV 89423 (775) 782-8141 Mailing Address P.O. Box 2080 Minden, NV 89423 Facsimile (775)782-3685 Rowe & Hales Attorneys At Law fact, and concludes as a matter of law, that it possess the authority to partition the parties' community real property interests in order to effect an equal division of the community real property. The Court concludes that pursuant to NRS 39.010 et. seq. "Partition of Real Property" the Court may partition real property according to the respective rights of the parties and their interests in the real property. The Court also concludes that a partition may be made without great prejudice to MICHAEL and ELIZABETH.

The Court further concludes that it may partition the property pursuant to the provisions of NRS 278.461(4)(e) and exempt the requirement of a parcel map when the division of the property is for the express purpose of carrying out an order of this Court.

5. The Court finds that MICHAEL and his counsel have complied with this Court's request to advise the Douglas County, Nevada Community Development Department of the request.

The Court finds as a matter of fact, and concludes as a matter of law, that, in these unique circumstances, and in order to divide the community property equity between MICHAEL and ELIZABETH, an order partitioning the property and allowing for the sale of one of the five acre parcels is the only method available to the Court to equally distribute the community property asset accumulated during the course of the marriage.

6. The Court finds as a matter of fact, and concludes as a matter of law, that ELIZABETH owes an obligation of child support to MICHAEL. The Decree requires such support to be paid to MICHAEL. The Court finds that ELIZABETH has made no payments of Court ordered child support to MICHAEL.

Accordingly, the Court finds and concludes that it is 0556272

RK 1002 PG 13706

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

28

appropriate in the circumstances to allow \$3,100.00 to be deducted from the proceeds resulting from the sale of the to be created five acre parcel and paid to MICHAEL in order to satisfy ELIZABETH's obligation to pay child support for the parties minor child BREANN until her 18th birthday. The \$3,100.00 payment shall be paid directly to MICHAEL on close of escrow of the sale of the partitioned five acre lot.

7. The Court finds that ELIZABETH has received \$791.00 per month as a result of her failure to advise the Social Security Administration that MICHAEL, and not ELIZABETH, was the custodial parent of BREANN. It also appears to the satisfaction of the Court that ELIZABETH has and will be paid such sums notwithstanding that MICHAEL has advised the Social Security Administration that the Decree of Divorce was entered and that MICHAEL is the custodial parent of BREANN.

The Court further finds that the monies paid to ELIZABETH were paid by the Social Security Administration for the benefit of BREANN.

MICHAEL has requested this Court's advice and its order to set aside a sum of \$21,421.00, derived by a deduction of \$3,100.00 from the total amount that has been or will be paid by the Social Security Administration to ELIZABETH, into a separate or blocked type of trust account for the benefit of BREANN to be paid to BREANN upon her attaining the age of 30 years. The Court deems this an appropriate and proper request, but disagrees that these funds should not be available to MICHAEL. Accordingly, the Court orders that MICHAEL shall have access to the entire amount of benefit paid by the Social Security Administration for ostensible the purpose

0556272

BK 1002 PG 13707

supporting BREANN, or \$24,521.00.

It is clear to the Court that MICHAEL has provided for the support and maintenance of BREANN. Accordingly, the Court deems it proper to allow MICHAEL to access the sum of \$24,521.00 for the purpose of providing for BREANN's education, support, maintenance or such other purpose as a parent would apply funds for the benefit of a child.

- 8. MICHAEL has requested this Court's advice and instruction, and order deducting from the net proceeds of the sale of the five acre parcel the amount of \$600.00 which is one-half of the costs of the Turner and Associates survey approved by the Court as the survey of the partitioned lot. The Court deems it appropriate to reimburse MICHAEL the sum of \$600.00 for the survey expense.
- 9. MICHAEL has requested this Court's authorization for reimbursement from the sale's proceeds for one-half of the community obligations paid by MICHAEL. The total amount of obligations paid by MICHAEL for the benefit of the community and for the benefit of ELIZABETH is \$15,475.91. The Court deems it appropriate to reimburse MICHAEL one-half of this amount, or \$7,737.96, from the sale's proceeds. ELIZABETH clearly benefitted from MICHAEL's payment of these community obligations.
- 10. In his revised request, MICHAEL has distinguished certain community obligations for utilities and other expenses incurred in provision of a home for BREANN from the community obligations referenced above. He has requested reimbursement of one-half of the amount paid for utilities provided to the home in which MICHAEL and BREANN live. MICHAEL's requested reimbursement is one-half of the total cost of \$1,880.00, or \$940.00, for the utilities. The Court

BK 1002PG 13708

disagrees with this request. With the Court's earlier stated intention to allow MICHAEL to utilize the sum of \$24,521.00 for the benefit of BREANN, the Court has reasoned that these sums were to be paid for the support of BREANN. In the Court's opinion, and therefore the Court's order, this sum would include the costs for reimbursement of utilities. The Court denies MICHAEL's requested reimbursement for one-half of the utility costs.

11. MICHAEL has requested this the Court approve of his request for instructions to place the sale's proceeds resulting from the sale of the to be created five acre parcel into a blocked or trust type account wherein the children of MICHAEL and ELIZABETH, BREANN and TRAVIS, are named as beneficiaries. The Court deems it appropriate to approve of this request. The Court will maintain dominion and control of the account pending further order of the Court.

The Court hereby orders that the balance of the sale's proceeds will be deposited with the Clerk of this Court into an interest bearing account for the benefit of the two children of MICHAEL and ELIZABETH. Should ELIZABETH die prior to the Court considering a request for release of these funds, the principal and interest will be released upon a request made to the Court by BREANN and/or TRAVIS. At such time as the request is made to the Court, the Court will consider the request and enter such further order as it deems appropriate in the circumstances.

It is the order of the Court that the share of ELIZABETH, which will equate one-half of the net sale's proceeds after the allowed deductions set forth within this order, shall be established as an account with, in essence, two subshares. BREANN and TRAVIS shall each be entitled to one-half of the account, together with one-

half of any accrued interest, should the Court release the account to the children.

- 12. MICHAEL has also requested that this Court authorize reimbursement of one-half of MICHAEL's incurred attorney's fees and court costs in procuring the divorce and this order. The Petition advises that MICHAEL has incurred \$6,378.00 in attorney's fees and court costs; one-half of which is \$3,189.00. The Court approves of reimbursement of \$3,189.00 in attorney's fees and court costs from the net proceeds of the sale.
- 13. Based upon the above and foregoing findings of fact and conclusions of law, the Court finds as a matter of fact that, should any conclusion of law be construed to be a finding of fact, the Court so intends. Conversely, should any conclusion of law be construed to be a finding of fact, the Court also intends this construction.

In consideration of the above and foregoing, the Court hereby enters it order as follows:

- 1. The Court ratifies, confirms and approves of the Turner and Associates survey, attached as Exhibit "A" to the initial request filed June 7, 2002, and orders that the proposed record of survey dividing the community real property into five acre lots may be recorded. Pursuant to NRS 278.461(4)(e), the Court hereby enters its order that the record of survey may be recorded and the property partitioned.
- 2. This Court will execute the appropriate jurat on the record of survey map in order that the parcels may be divided as set forth on the record of survey. Thereafter, MICHAEL shall cause the record of survey to be recorded.
  - 3. The Court orders that once the record of survey map has 0.556272

BK1002PG13710

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

been recorded, MICHAEL shall use all reasonable efforts to sell the parcel known on the record of survey map as Parcel 4-B-1. MICHAEL shall be fully authorized to sign any instruments necessary to list the property for sale and sell Parcel 4-B-1. No further judicial confirmation of the sale shall be required. ELIZABETH's share of the proceeds of the sale are subject to the provisions of this order.

- The deductions allowed from the gross proceeds resulting 4. from the sale of Parcel 4-B-1 are as follows:
  - \$24,521.00 to MICHAEL to apply for BREANN's care, education and custodial expenses.
  - \$600.00 reimbursement of one-half cost of survey fees to MICHAEL
  - One-half of community obligations paid by MICHAEL; \$7,737.96 reimbursed to MICHAEL
  - \$3,189.06 reimbursement of one-half of attorney's fees paid by MICHAEL.

## \$36,048.02 Total:

Upon the deductions being made as set forth above, the net 5. proceeds will be subject to distribution pursuant to the Court's later Pending such order, the Court will maintain dominion and order. control over these proceeds which will be maintained for the benefit Should ELIZABETH demonstrate satisfactory sobriety to of ELIZABETH. the Court will consider a request to distribute this account.

The account that shall be established with the Clerk of this Court shall be an interest bearing account for the benefit of ELIZABETH or BREANN and TRAVIS. MICHAEL shall have no access to these funds.

Should ELIZABETH fail to request release of these funds, after demonstrating to the Court her sobriety, or should ELIZABETH die before she makes an application for release of these funds, these funds shall be retained by the Clerk until further Court order. Such

0556272

BK 1002PG 13711

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

an order may be issued upon application by either TRAVIS or BREANN for release of the funds. At such time as an application is made by either BREANN, TRAVIS or ELIZABETH, the Court shall evaluate such request and enter such further orders relating to the fund as deemed appropriate in the circumstances.

The intention of the Court is that the fund established with the Clerk shall be the net sale's proceeds; interest shall be compounded and paid to the principal and retained by the Clerk of the Court until such time as this Court enters an order distributing the fund.

7. Within 30 days of the date of the close of escrow resulting from the sale of Parcel 4-B-1, MICHAEL shall submit satisfactory proof to this Court that the trust account required by this order has been established.

DATED: this day of October, 2002.

DAVID R. GAMBLE
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

ROWE & HALFS, LLP.,

MICHAEL SMILEY ROWE, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 1374 1638 Esmeralda Avenue Minden, Nevada 89423 (775) 782-8141

Attorney for Petitioner

CERTIFIED COPY

The document to which this certificate is attached is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file and of record in my office.

DATE: 10/22/02

Clark of the 9th Judicial District Court State of Nevada, In and for the County of Douglas,

thaler

Deputy

2002 OCT 30 AM 10: 06

IN OFFICIAL RECORDS OF DOUGLAS CO. HEVADA

RECORDER

\$22 PAID ( DEPUTY

BK 1602 PG 13712