	OFFICIAL RECORD Requested By:
Assessor's Parcel Number:	LEGAL EXPRESS
Recording Requested By:	Douglas County - NV Werner Christen - Recorder Page: 1 Of 8 Fee: 2
Name: Claire	BK-0806 PG-10055 RPTT:
suite)
Address: 1750 1 Buffalo Dr 104-101	
City/State/Zip Las Vegas Nu 89/21	
Real Property Transfer Tax:	
	TAN TAN

(Title of Document)

DOC # 068 08/28/2006 08:07 AM

21.00

This page added to provide additional information required by NRS 111.312 Sections 1-2 (Additional recording fee applies)

This cover page must be typed or legibly hand printed.

C'bc docs/Cover page for recording

REC'D & FILED

1 | Case No.

03-00586A

2

Dept. No.

105 OCT 12 P3:37

3

2

ALAN CLO

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
IN AND FOR CARSON CITY

-000-

KATHLEEN BOBBITT,

Plaintiff,

VS.

BERRY-HINCKLEY INDUSTRIES, a Nevada corporation, dba WINNER'S CORNER; JOHN DOES 1 through 10 inclusive, and BLACK & WHITE CORPORATIONS 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendant.

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS

THIS MATTER comes before the Court pursuant to Defendant Berry-Hinckley Industries d/b/a Winner's Corner's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs filed on August 2, 2005. Plaintiff Kathleen Bobbitt filed a Partial Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs on August 10, 2005. The Court has received and reviewed the parties' written briefs, the Court file and the law applicable to the issues raised. The Court, deeming itself fully advised of the matter, hereby enters its Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, Discussion, and Judgment as follows:

FINDINGS OF FACT

This case arose from a slip and fall at Winner's Corner at 1400 Rand Avenue in Carson City on or about March 18, 2002. The temperature that day was below freezing and snow had fallen the night before.

Plaintiff, who has frequented Winner's corner several times per week for



BK- 0806 PG-10056 B/28/2006

5

13

14

12

15

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28 111

///

approximately five years, parked at Winner's Corner in order to enter the store. The parking lot and sidewalk appeared free from any water, snow, or ice. Plaintiff allegedly slipped on a patch of ice along the curb edge of the sidewalk and fell as she was stepping up onto the sidewalk in the front of the store.

The case proceeded to a trial by jury in the First Judicial District Court, Department 2 in Carson City on July 11, 2005. After the jury heard all of the evidence presented, a verdict in favor of the Defendants was returned, finding that they were not negligent.

Prior to the jury trial, Defendants served Plaintiff with two offers of judgment, both of which were rejected by Plaintiff. The first offer of judgment was for thirty thousand one dollar (\$30,001.00) and was served on Plaintiffs on April 21, 2005. The second offer of judgment of seventy-five thousand one dollar (\$75,001.00) was served on May, 31, 2005. As a result of the jury returning a verdict in favor of the Defendants, the Defendants have beat both offers of judgment.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

NRS 17.115 Offer of Judgment

- At any time more than 10 days before trial, any party may serve upon one or more other parties a written offer to allow judgment to be taken in accordance with the terms and conditions of the offer of judgment.
- Except as otherwise provided in this section, if a party who rejects an offer of judgment fails to obtain a more favorable judgment, the court:
 - (c) Shall order the party to pay the taxable costs incurred by the party who made the offer; and
 - (d) May order the party to pay to the party who made the offer any or all of the following:
 - **(1)** A reasonable sum...for each expert witness whose services were reasonably necessary to prepare for and conduct the trial of the case.
 - **(2)** Any applicable interest on the judgment[.]
 - **(3)** Reasonable attorney fees[.]

NRS 18.010 Award of Attorney's Fees

- 1. The compensation of an attorney and counselor for his services is governed by agreement, express or implied, which is not restrained by law.
- 2. In addition to the cases where an allowance is authorized by specific statute, the court may make an allowance of attorney's fees to a prevailing party:
 - (a) When he has not recovered more than \$20,000; or
 - (b) Without regard to the recovery sought, when the court finds that the claim, counterclaim, cross-claim or third-party complaint or defense of the opposing party was brought or maintained without reasonable ground or to harass the prevailing party. The court shall liberally construe the provisions of this paragraph in favor of awarding attorney's fees in all appropriate situations. It is the intent of the Legislature that the court award attorney's fees pursuant to this paragraph and impose sanctions pursuant to Rule 11 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure in all appropriate situations to punish for and deter frivolous or vexatious claims and defenses because such claims and defenses overburden limited judicial resources, hinder the timely resolution of meritorious claims and increase the costs of engaging in business and providing professional services to the public.
- 3. In awarding attorney's fees, the court may pronounce its decision on the fees at the conclusion of the trial or special proceeding without written motion and with or without presentation of additional evidence.
- 4. Subsections 2 and 3 do not apply to any action arising out of a written instrument or agreement which entitles the prevailing party to an award of reasonable attorney's fees.

NRS 18.020 Cases in which costs allowed prevailing party.

Costs must be allowed of course to the prevailing party against any adverse party against whom judgment is rendered, in the following cases:

3. In an action for the recovery of money or damages, where the plaintiff seeks to recover more than \$2,500.

NRCP 68 Offers of Judgment

- (A) The Offer. At any time more than 10 days before trial, any party may serve an offer in writing to allow judgment to be taken in accordance with its term and conditions.
- (F) Penalties for Rejection of Offer. If the offeree rejects an offer and fails to

obtain a more favorable judgment.

- the offeree cannot recover any costs or attorney's fees and shall not recover interest for the period after the service of the offer and before the judgment; and
- the offeree shall pay the offeror's post-offer costs, applicable interest on the judgment from the time of the offer to the time of entry of the judgment and reasonable attorney's fees, if any be allowed, actually incurred by the offeror from the time of the offer. If the offeror's attorney is collecting a contingent fee, the amount of any attorney's fees awarded to the party for whom the offer is made must be deducted from that contingent fee.

NRCP 68 encourages the settlement of lawsuits by raising the stakes for a litigant who receives an offer of judgment. An "offeree must balance the uncertainty of receiving a more favorable judgment against the risk of receiving a less favorable judgment and being forced to pay the offeror's costs and attorney's fees." Bergmann v. Boyce, 109 Nev. 670, 677-678, 856 P.2d 560, 565 (1993).

The decision to award attorney's fees is within the sound discretion of the trial court. A district court's award of attorney's fees will not be disturbed on appeal absent a manifest abuse of discretion. Bobby Berosini, Ltd. v. People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals, 114 Nev. 1348, 1353-4, 971 P.2d 383, 386 (1998).

"It is settled that attorney's fees are not recoverable absent a statute, rule or contractual provision to the contrary." Rowland v. Lepire, 99 Nev. 308, 315, 662 P.2d 1332, 1336 (1983)

Furthermore, "[T]he trial court must carefully evaluate the following factors: (1) whether the plaintiff's claim was brought in good faith; (2) whether the defendant's offer of judgment was reasonable and in good faith in both its timing and amount; (3) whether

the plaintiff's decision to reject the offer and proceed to trial was grossly unreasonable or in bad faith; and (4) whether the fees sought by the offeror are reasonable and justified in amount. After weighing the foregoing factors, the district judge may, where warranted, award up to the full amount of fees requested." *Beattie v. Thomas*, 99 Nev. 579, 588-589, 668 P.2d 268 (1983).

DISCUSSION

During the proceedings, Plaintiff was served with two offers of judgment. The first offer of judgment for thirty thousand one dollar was issued on or about April 21, 2005. The second offer of judgment for seventy-five thousand one dollar was served on or about May 31, 2005. Plaintiff rejected both of these offers of judgement and proceeded with a jury trial that commenced July 11, 2005.

At the conclusion of the jury trial, the jury returned a verdict in favor of the Defendants, finding that the Defendants were not negligent. This verdict did not allow Plaintiff to recover any monetary damages, and as a result, the Defendants have beat both offers of judgment.

Pursuant to NRS 17.115, the Court may order the rejecting offeree to pay the offeror of an offer of judgment the reasonable costs and attorney's fees associated with the case.

Furthermore, pursuant to NRCP 68, the penalties levied upon an offeree for rejecting an offer of judgment while not obtaining a more favorable judgment requires the offeree to pay the offeror's post-offer costs, including reasonable attorncy's fees. As enumerated in *Bergmann*, this rule encourages settlements by making the offeree



BK- 0806 PG- 10060

"balance the uncertainty of receiving a more favorable judgment against the risk of receiving a less favorable judgment and being forced to pay the offeror's costs and attorney's fees."

The four factors spelled out in Beattie weigh in favor of granting the award of the attorney's fees and costs, less the challenged fees itemized in Plaintiff's partial opposition. The plaintiff's claim appears to have been brought in good faith. The Defendant's offer of judgment of thirty thousand one dollar was a reasonable sum, and the service of April 21, 2005 was reasonable in light of the pending trial to commence on July 11, 2005. The Defendant's second offer of judgment of seventy-five thousand one dollar was also reasonable in light of the May 31, 2005 service and the pending trial date of July 11, 2005. Plaintiff's decision to forgo the offer of judgment does not rise to the level of grossly unreasonable or bad faith. However, based on the totality of the circumstances surrounding the case and the decision to decline both offers of judgment is at the very least a very unreasonable decision. The fees are reasonable and justified in light of the preparation required for a jury trial. The reasonableness of the fees and costs is further validated by the Plaintiff's Partial Opposition whereby the Plaintiff only challenges two hundred ninety-four dollars of attorney's fees out of the entire amount of the Defendants' post-offer fees and costs. As a result, the Court orders Plaintiff to pay Defendant's attorney's fees of \$12,657.00 less the \$294.00 in challenged fees, plus the \$6,727.18 in costs for a total bill of \$19,090.18. Therefore, good cause appearing;

Page: 8 Of

JUDGEMENT

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff must pay Defendants attorney fees of \$12,363.00 and costs of \$6,727.18 for a total bill of \$19,090.18 incurred after the first offer of judgment of April 21, 2005.

DATED this 12th day of October, 2005.

District Judge

Marc Picker, Esq. David R. Sidran, Esq.

CERTIFIED COPY

The document to which this contificate is attached is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file and of record in my office

ALAN GLOVER, City Clerk and Clerk of the First Judicial District Court and the State of Nevada in and for Carety City.

PG- 10062 08/28/2006