Assessor's Parcel Number:	DOUGLAS COUNTY, NV 2015-857222 Rec:\$19.00 Total:\$19.00 DOUGLAS COUNTY, NV 2015-857222 Pgs=6
Recording Requested By: J. D. Sullivan Name:	00008394201508572220060068
1625 SR 88, Suite 401 Address:	KAREN ELLISON, RECORDER
Minden, NV 89423 City/State/Zip	
Real Property Transfer Tax:	<u>s</u>
UIDOMENT IN EAVOR O	E DETIDENTEN LLO

DOUGLAS COUNTY, NV

JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF: RETIREMEN, LLC

(Title of Document)

This page added to provide additional information required by NRS 111.312 Sections 1-2. (Additional recording fee applies) This cover page must be typed or legibly hand printed.

Case No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

08-TRT-00067-1B

REC'D & FILEU

The second secon

Dept. No.

2015 FEB 19 PM 3:/12

SUSAN MERRIWETHER CLERK

JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF:

RETIREMEN, LLC

DEPUTY

IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR CARSON CITY

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

JACK WHITE, an individual; DAYTON VALLEY ESTATES LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; and RETIREMEN, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiffs.

vs.

TIM EUGENE BERTAGNOLLI, an individual; and T.E. BERTAGNOLLI & ASSOCIATES INC. dba Bertagnolli Aggregates, a Nevada corporation,

Defendants.

Based upon the Memorandum of Decision with Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law, ("Decision") entered in this case on December 9, 2014, and the matters argued and evidence adduced at the Post-Trial Hearing on February 18, 2015, judgment be and hereby is entered in favor of plaintiff, RETIREMEN, LLC, (hereinafter, "Retiremen"), and against defendants, Tim Eugene Bertagnolli aka Tim E. Bertagnolli fka T.E. Bertagnolli aka Tim Bertagnolli, an individual, and T.E. Bertagnolli & Associates Inc. dba Bertagnolli Aggregates, a Nevada corporation

(collectively hereinafter, "Bertagnolli"), jointly and severally, in the amount of \$150,000, by way of equitable rescission. This amount represents the purchase price for 10 acre feet of water rights that Retiremen bought and paid for in 2004. In that the Court is ordering the return of the purchase price from Bertagnolli, Retiremen must effect return to Bertagnolli of the 10 acre feet of water rights he conveyed to Retiremen.

Retiremen and the other plaintiffs settled earlier with Western. The court finds that the settlement consideration paid to Retiremen by Western was compensation for damages caused by Western for which recovery could not be obtained from Bertagnolli. That is, significant damages suffered by Retiremen were not foreseeable by Bertagnolli and therefore not recoverable from him.

Bertagnolli contends that in addition to return to him of the 10 acre feet of water rights, he should also be given an offset for the value of the other consideration paid to Retiremen by Western as a result of the Western settlement.

However, Western worked on zone changing for Retiremen's Dayton property, helped Retiremen perfect the transfer of the 10 acre feet, owed different duties to Retiremen, and likely would have been found liable for additional and different damages than those which have been awarded against Bertagnolli. Provided that Bertanolli's 10 acre feet of water rights are returned, no offset for the value of the Western settlement will be given to Bertagnolli.

///

///

Bertagnolli claims that under Elyousef v. O'Reilly & Ferrario, LLC, 126 Nev. Adv. Op. 43, 245 P.3d 547 (2010), he is entitled to an offset, contending that otherwise Retiremen will be receiving a double recovery. But the Elyousef case does not apply where the damages recovered from the settling party do not fully compensate the plaintiff. Western's settlement did not fully compensate Retiremen in this case and Retiremen was entitled to pursue Bertagnolli for recovery of the purchase price of the water rights. It would be inequitable to force Western to bear all liability, as Bertagnolli received \$150,000 for these water rights, which were not useable by Retiremen and did not satisfy the essential terms of the contract between Retiremen and Bertagnolli.

Bertagnolli also contends that he is entitled to an offset under Western Tech. v. All-Am. Golf Ctr., 122 Nev. 869 (2006). However, that case held that, "[a]n obligor is entitled to an offset by the amount of the obligee's settlement with a co-obligor in contract actions, to the extent that the judgment and settlement are duplicative." Id., 122 Nev. 869 at 876.

In the case at bar, Western was not a co-obligor on the contract. Instead, it had liability arising from its professional relationship with Retiremen. And the judgment against Bertagnolli is therefore not duplicative of the value received in the settlement with Western. Western was likely liable for significant additional and different damages than those awarded against Bertagnolli.

Thus, the court finds that the Western settlement did not

fully compensate Retiremen for its losses. Further, in that
Bertagnolli received value in the amount of the purchase price
of \$150,000, it would be an unfair windfall to Bertagnolli to
retain the value he received in the transaction.

Therefore, Bertagnolli is ordered to pay Retiremen
\$150,000, and Retiremen is ordered to convey the 10 acre feet to
Bertagnolli.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 19,2015

Judge District Court

